UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,041	10/31/2003	Hideaki Imura	SHO-0036	8363	
	7590 08/31/2007 MAN & GRAUER PLLO		EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING			KIM, ANDREW		
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		L	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/697,041	IMURA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Kim	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo e of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) e of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriately set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 224)
<ul> <li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	(P10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi ovided below or appended.	II be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on the status of the claims after e	my to botom of allac	

11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

ROBERT E-PEZZUTO
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the image display device limitation, the examiner respectfully asserts that the flat image display device is disclosed in the Mizukai reference (see fig.1 and the description therewith).

Regarding the image display assistance device, the examiner respectfully asserts that when two prior art elements are combined according to known methods to yield predictable results and is within one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious. Since the reflector is disclosed in Mizukai and positioning reflectors to also be used as a means to shine light onto an object is also prior art (i.e. ordinary flashlight), it would have been obvious to an artisan to combine a slot machine with side reflectors that light the surface of the reel as well as a display in front of the reels.